

Cioc Alex-Andrei, Pitesti

PMJ53_1: Un numero natural A lo llamamos "super 3" si la suma de sus cifras es tres veces mayor que la suma de las cifras del numero A + 1.

Hallar todos los numeros "super 3" que tienen a lo sumo 4 cifras.

PMJ53_1 – Solution:

Because the sum of digits of A is divisible by 3, A must be divisible by 3 too. This sum is bigger than the sum of digits of A + 1, so the last digit of A is 9.

Let us note $s(n)$ the sum of digits of n.

We have 4 cases:

a) A has only one digit => $A = 9 \Rightarrow s(A) = 9$.

$$A + 1 = 10 \Rightarrow s(A + 1) = 1 \Rightarrow s(A) = 9s(A + 1), \text{ it is not a solution}$$

b) A has two digits => $A \in \{39, 69, 99\}$.

$$A = 39 \Rightarrow s(A) = 12, s(A + 1) = 4 \Rightarrow s(A) = 3s(A + 1) \Rightarrow A = 39 \text{ is a solution.}$$

$$A = 69 \Rightarrow s(A) = 15, s(A + 1) = 7, \text{ it is not a solution.}$$

$$A = 99 \Rightarrow s(A) = 18, s(A + 1) = 1, \text{ it is not a solution.}$$

c) A has three digits

a. The second digit is not 9 => $s(A) - s(A + 1) = 8$

$$s(A + 1) + 8 = 3s(A + 1) \Rightarrow s(A + 1) = 4 \Rightarrow A + 1 \in \{130, 220, 310, 400\} \Leftrightarrow A \in \{129, 219, 309, 399\}, \text{ but the second digit of } 399 \text{ is 9.}$$

b. The second digit is 9 => $s(A) - s(A + 1) = 17 \Rightarrow s(A + 1) + 17 = 3s(A + 1) \Rightarrow 2s(A + 1) = 17, \text{ contradiction.}$

c. $A = 999 \Rightarrow A + 1 = 1000 \Rightarrow s(A) = 27s(A + 1), \text{ it is not a solution.}$

d) A has four digits

a. The third digit is not 9 => $s(A) - s(A + 1) = 8$

$$s(A + 1) + 8 = 3s(A + 1) \Rightarrow s(A + 1) = 4 \Rightarrow A + 1 \in \{1030, 1120, 1210, 1300, 2110, 2020, 2200, 3010, 3100, 4000\} \Leftrightarrow A \in \{1029, 1119, 1209, 1299, 2109, 3009, 3099, 2009, 2199, 3999\} \text{ but } 1299, 3099, 2199, 3999 \text{ are not solutions.}$$

b. The third digit is 9

b.1. The second digit is not 9 => $s(A) - s(A + 1) = 17 \Rightarrow s(A + 1) + 17 = 3s(A + 1) \Rightarrow 2s(A + 1) = 17$, contradiction.

b.2. The second digit is 9 => $s(A) - s(A + 1) = 26 \Rightarrow s(A + 1) = 13$, but A + 1 has last three digits 0, so $s(A + 1) \leq 9 \Rightarrow$ contradiction.

c. $A = 9999 \Rightarrow A + 1 = 10000 \Rightarrow s(A) = 9999s(A + 1)$, it is not a solution

In conclusion, the solutions are: 39, 129, 219, 309, 1029, 1119, 1209, 2109, 3009, 2009.

Cioc Alex-Andrei, Pitesti

PMJ53_2: Demonstrar que la fraction

$$\frac{2013^{2013} - 2013^{2012} - 2013^{2011}}{2012^{2013} - 2012^{2012} - 2012^{2011} - 2013}$$

No es irreducible.

PMJ53_2 – Solution:

$$2013^{2013} - 2013^{2012} - 2013^{2011} = 2013^{2011} (2013^2 - 2013 - 1)$$

Let us note $u(n)$ the last digit of the natural number n .

$$u(2013^2 - 2013 - 1) = u(9 - 3 - 1) = 5 \Rightarrow 5 | 2013^{2013} - 2013^{2012} - 2013^{2011} \quad (1)$$

$$2012^{2013} - 2012^{2012} - 2012^{2011} - 2013 = 2012^{2011} (2012^2 - 2012 - 1)$$

$$\begin{aligned} u(2012^2 - 2012 - 1) &= u(4 - 2 - 1) = 1 \Rightarrow u(2012^{2011} (2012^2 - 2012 - 1)) \\ &= u(2012^{2011}) = 8 \Rightarrow u(2012^{2013} - 2012^{2012} - 2012^{2011} - 2013) = u(8 - 3) = 5 \\ \Rightarrow 5 | 2012^{2013} - 2012^{2012} - 2012^{2011} - 2013 &\quad (2) \end{aligned}$$

From (1) and (2) we obtain that the fraction is reductible by 5.

Cioc Alex-Andrei, Pitesti

PMJ53_3:

- a) Descomponer el numero 2012 en suma de numeros naturales consecutivos
- b) Descomponer el numero 2012 en suma de numeros naturales pares consecutivos
- c) Descomponer el numero 2012 en suma de numeros naturales impares consecutivos

PMJ53_3 – Solution:

- a) $2012 = 248 + 249 + 250 + 251 + 252 + 253 + 254 + 255.$
- b) $2012 = 500 + 502 + 504 + 506.$
- c) $2012 = 1005 + 1007.$

Cioc Alex-Andrei, Pitesti

PMJ53_4: El area de un rectangulo es $2m^2$. Si se aumenta la longitud y la anchura en $2m$, el area aumenta en $8m^2$. Hallar el perimetro del rectangulo inicial.

PMJ53_4 – Solution:

Let us note L the length and I the width of the rectangle.

$$L \cdot I = 2m^2.$$

$$(L + 2m)(I + 2m) = 2 + 8 = 10m^2 \Leftrightarrow L \cdot I + 4m^2 + 2m \cdot (L + I) = 10m^2 \Leftrightarrow \\ 2m \cdot (L + I) = 4m^2 \Leftrightarrow L + I = 2m.$$

$$(L + I)^2 = 4m^2 \Leftrightarrow L^2 + I^2 + 2L \cdot I = 4m^2 \Leftrightarrow L^2 + I^2 = 4m^2 - 4m^2 = 0, \text{ but } L^2 > 0 \text{ and } I^2 > 0 \Rightarrow L = I = 0, \text{ contradiction.}$$

In conclusion, the respectively rectangle cannot exist.